

**CALGARY  
COMPOSITE ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD  
DECISION WITH REASONS**

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

**Between**

***Real Equity GPI Inc. (as represented by Altus Group Ltd.), COMPLAINANT***

**And**

***The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT***

**Before:**

***M. Chilibeck, PRESIDING OFFICER***

***J. Joseph, MEMBER***

***D. Pollard, MEMBER***

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2011 Assessment Roll as follows:

**ROLL NUMBER: 067072702**

**LOCATION ADDRESS: 718 – 8 AV SW**

**HEARING NUMBER: 63445**

**ASSESSMENT: \$1,880,000**

This complaint was heard by the Composite Assessment Review Board on 4th day of October, 2011 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located in Boardroom 6 on Floor Number 4 at 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

- S. Sweeney-Cooper

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

- D. Grandbois

**Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:**

Neither party raised any objections to a member of the Board hearing the subject complaint.

There were no preliminary matters raised by either party.

**Property Description:**

The subject property is located in the DT2E (Downtown 2 East) market zone in the downtown commercial area of the City of Calgary. It comprises of a low-rise office/retail building containing 16,210 sq. ft. on a parcel of land containing 6,855 sq. ft. The building has been vacant for the past one and one-half years.

**Issues:**

The Complainant identified the matters of an assessment amount and assessment classification on the Assessment Review Board Complaint (complaint form) and attached a list outlining several reasons for the complaint. At the hearing the complainant advised that the assessment amount is under complaint. The Board summarized the issues as follows:

1. The assessed base land rate is not fair and equitable.

**Complainant's Requested Value:** \$1,141,000 per the complaint form.  
\$1,336,700 per evidence disclosure.

**Board's Findings in Respect of Each Issue:**

The subject property, though improved with an office/retail type building, is assessed on the basis of the value of the land without an improvement because the capitalized income value as an improved property is less than its land value.

The Respondent has assessed the subject land at a base rate of \$275 per sq ft of land area and no influence allowances were applied.

**1) Assessed Base Land Rate**

The Complainant contends that the base land rate should be \$195 per sq ft based on four sales of vacant land, dated October, 2009 to July, 2010, in the downtown area of Calgary. The Board finds that these sales do not support the Complainant's request. Two sales are in the EVG (East

Village) market zone, one of which is a "distress court order" sale. The other two sales are in the same market zone as the subject and are either a "distress court order" or "distress foreclosure" sale.

The Board finds that the two EVG sales are located in an inferior market zone. Land in the EVG market zone is assessed at a base rate of \$145 per sq ft versus land in the DT2E zone at \$275 and land in the DT1 zone at \$375. These assessed rates recognize the different market values between each of the market zones.

The Respondent provided three vacant land sales in the subject market zone DT2E that range in sale date from August, 2007 to September, 2008 with a mean of \$421 and a median of \$367, not adjusted for time, in support of the assessed rate of \$275 per sq ft. The Board finds the Respondent's land sales analysis, although not time adjusted, persuasive support for the assessed base rate of \$275 per sq ft for DT2E zone.

Also, four sales were provided in market zone DT1, adjacent to the east of the subject zone, that range in sale date from May, 2007 to November, 2008 with a mean of \$589 and a median of \$567, not adjusted for time, in support of the assessed base rate of \$375 per sq ft. And six sales were provided in market zone EVG (DT3), adjacent to the east of DT1, that range in sale date from July, 2009 to March, 2010 with a mean of \$174 and median of \$169 in support of the assessed base rate of \$145 per sq ft. the Board finds these sales support the fact that the market zones as established by the Respondent each have different market land rates.

The Board finds the distress sales are not reflective of market value as defined in the Municipal Government Act (MGA). Section 1(1) of the Municipal Government Act defines market value as follows:

"market value" means the amount that a property, as defined in section 284(1)(r), might be expected to realize if sold on the open market by a willing seller to a willing buyer,

These properties were not sold by a willing seller as defined in the Act; the seller was forced to sell the property.

The Respondent provided evidence that the subject property sold in July, 2007 for \$3,750,000 and was fully occupied. Currently and as of July, 2010 the subject is listed for sale at \$2,300,000 or \$335 per sq ft of land area. This suggests to the Board that the subject is not assessed in excess of market value.

The Board finds in evidence a paired land sale, 919 – 5 Av SW, which sold under duress in May, 2009 at \$358 per sq ft and subsequently sold in November, 2010 at \$435 per sq ft, a 21.5% increase. The Board recognizes that the November sale is post facto the valuation date of July 1 however it sold in the relevant assessment year. The Board placed weight on this sale that indicates a market sale price is greater than a "duress" sale price and that this sale supports the assessed base rate of \$275 for the subject land.

The Board finds the Complainant's sales evidence does not support a change to the assessed base rate. The Sales are in an inferior market location or not valid market sales. The Board was persuaded by the Respondent's vacant land sales in the subject's market zone, although somewhat dated, support the assessed base rate.

**Board's Decision:**

The Board confirms the assessment at \$1,880,000.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 7 DAY OF November 2011.



**M. Chilibeck**  
**Presiding Officer**

**DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING  
AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD**

NO.	ITEM
1. C1	Complainant's Disclosure
2. R2	Respondent's Disclosure

*Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:*

- (a) *the complainant;*
- (b) *an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;*
- (c) *the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;*
- (d) *the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).*

*An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to*

- (a) *the assessment review board, and*  
(b) *any other persons as the judge directs.*

FOR MGB ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY

<b>Decision No. 046-2496-2011-P</b>			<b>Roll No. 067072702</b>	
<b><u>Complaint Type</u></b>	<b><u>Property Type</u></b>	<b><u>Property Sub-Type</u></b>	<b><u>Issue</u></b>	<b><u>Sub-Issue</u></b>
CARB	Office	Low-Rise	Sales Approach	Land Value